Mr. S. Piraviperumal Pillai (*Commerce and Industry, South*): Then may I ask, why not pay the contractor who submitted the design first and then invite for other tenders?

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: I do not see any reason to do like that.

Complaints against the Personal Assistant to the Chief Engineer.

18. * **Mr. J. John Kattakayam** (*Muvattupuzha cum Todupuzha*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether any complaints have been raised by any one against the Personal Assistant to the Chief Engineer?

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: No.

Distinction between trained and untrained V. S. L. C. Teachers.

19. * **Mr. Haji Hassan Yacoob Sait** (*Commerce and Industry, North*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether their attention has been drawn to the fact that in their order R. Dis. No. 406 of 29/Edn., dated 25th April 1929, while a distinction has been made between trained and untrained V. H. teachers in V. M. Schools, no distinction has been made between trained and untrained V. S. L. C. teachers in Primary Schools, in spite of the additional expense incurred by trained teachers to obtain additional qualifications?

Mr. C. V. Chandrasekharan (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): Yes—the training bar was not introduced for V. S. L. C. holders since it was not possible to provide training facilities for so large a number of teachers.

Training Schools in North Travancore.

20. * **Mr. J. John Kattakayam**: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the number of Training Schools in North Travancore north of Ettumanur;

(*b*) the number of applications received to conduct Training Schools this year; of these, how many were sanctioned and where and which they are; and

(*c*) whether any applications were received in this connection from Vazhakulam in Todupuzha taluk, and if so, the reason for rejecting the application?

Mr. C. V. Chandrasekharan: (*a*) None.

(*b*) Forty-three. Of these, five applications were sanctioned; they are—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly